<u>Il bando di Horizon 2020:</u> *Narratives on migration and its impact: past and present* prospetta una dimensione storico-culturale che potrebbe interessare le discipline letterario- filologiche, e riguarda le dinamiche e gli sviluppi della narrazione sulle migrazioni a livello locale, nazionale, europeo.

Scadenza: 12 marzo 2020.

<u>Finanziamento</u>: 9 milioni di euro da suddividere fra i progetti premiati. Si possono finanziare assegni di ricerca *ex novo* e dare compensi parziali ai dottorandi di ricerca.

Link:

 $\underline{https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/opportunities/topic-details/migration-09-2020}$

Horizon 2020 - Work Programme 2018-2020 Europe in a changing world – Inclusive, innovative and reflective societies.

<u>Topic description</u>: in questa sede sono evidenziate le specificità richieste dal bando, che dovranno essere presenti sul piano del contenuto nel progetto/ nella *proposal*.

- 1) Specific Challenge: The way we collectively discuss about migration has an impact on the production of policies and responses to address this phenomenon. Narratives on migration be it in the media, public or political discourses- affect political processes across Europe, influence our perceptions on migration dynamics and ultimately have an effect on the integration of migrants in our societies. The challenge is to understand and explain the causes and consequences of such narratives, examining their construction and assessing their effects on attitudes to migration and on society at large. By identifying the responsibility of each stakeholder policy-makers, civil society organisations, citizens and migrants- in shaping these narratives, and by shedding light on the consequences of discourses on migration, the role and responsibility of each stakeholder will be better defined and their competences enhanced.
- 2) Scope: Proposals should address the dynamics and developments of migration narratives at local, national and EU level. Moreover, projects that include countries of origin and transit would be welcome. They should examine the general and potential long-term societal impact as well as the ethical implications of narratives on policy making. Successful projects should study the historical perspectives and changes in attitudes of non-migrant populations towards migrants and vice versa. Furthermore, projects should analyse the extent to which migrants' voices are included in the shaping of these narratives. Research could also address innovative ways for migrants to shape their testimonials and narratives. This could include the role of ICT and social media, literary and artistic representations and may involve cultural heritage representation e.g. in museums, or other artistic products and events. Proposals could also explore, through collaboration with relevant international partners from countries of origin, how migration narratives and their transmission affect migration decisions and behaviours of potential migrants, taking into account gender aspects where relevant, including the use of social media to facilitate migration. For the study of the impact of media and public narratives on perceptions, opinions, attitudes and behaviours of different categories of people, the use of innovative research methods, including experimental ones and the methodologies offered by the research infrastructures for social and cultural innovation, is strongly encouraged.

The Commission considers that proposals requesting a contribution from the EU of EUR 3 million would allow this specific challenge to be addressed appropriately. Nonetheless, this does not preclude submission and selection of proposals requesting other amounts.

3) Expected Impact: Practitioners will be informed by the new knowledge produced on the consequences of discourses on migration. This may have an impact on their conduct and policy choices when relevant. Projects will improve access and dissemination of information on narratives of migration and their effects including their scale, patterns and the social and economic impact on host societies. This will contribute to a more informed debate on migration and about public perceptions of migration. In the longer term, they may contribute to changing the debate on migration in European societies, opening new opportunities for a successful integration of migrants.

<u>Il progetto/la proposal</u>: per partecipare ad Horizon 2020, si tratta di formulare un progetto di circa 70 pagine che coinvolga almeno tre stati membri dell'Europa ed eventualmente anche paesi extraeuropei di origini del flusso migratorio. Sono possibili *partner* che siano, oltre alle università, anche enti di ricerca o cooperative sociali.

L'organizzazione del progetto/proposal prevede tre punti: in primo luogo "l'eccellenza" del progetto (cioè lo stato dell'arte sulla narrativa applicata alle migrazioni e l'obiettivo che farebbe di questo progetto una eccellenza), in secondo luogo l' "impact" (cioè la ricaduta positiva che prefigurerebbe tale progetto in ambito letterario, sociale, o politico in Italia o in Europa), infine l' "implementation" (cioè come si intenderebbe strutturare il progetto negli anni a venire).

La "proposal" con i punti da configurare attraverso i richiami alla topic description, segue.



Proposal template: technical annex

(for full proposals: single stage submission procedure and 2^{nd} stage of a two-stage submission procedure)

Research and Innovation actions Innovation actions

This template is to be used in a single- stage submission procedure or at the 2nd stage of a two-stage submission procedure.

The structure of this template must be followed when preparing your proposal. It has been designed to ensure that the important aspects of your planned work are presented in a way that will enable the experts to make an effective assessment against the evaluation criteria. Sections 1, 2 and 3 each correspond to an evaluation criterion.

Please be aware that proposals will be evaluated as they were submitted, rather than on their potential if certain changes were to be made. This means that only proposals that successfully address all the required aspects will have a chance of being funded. There will be no possibility for significant changes to content, budget and consortium composition during grant preparation.

Page limit: The title, list of participants and sections 1, 2 and 3, together, should not be longer than 70 pages. All tables, figures, references and any other element pertaining to these sections must be included as an integral part of these sections and are thus counted against this page limit.

The page limit will be applied automatically; therefore you must remove this instruction page before submitting.

If you attempt to upload a proposal longer than the specified limit before the deadline, you will receive an automatic warning and will be advised to shorten and re-upload the proposal. After the deadline, excess pages (in over-long proposals/applications) will be automatically made invisible, and will not be taken into consideration by the experts. The proposal is a self-contained document. Experts will be instructed to ignore hyperlinks to information that is specifically designed to expand the proposal, thus circumventing the page limit.

Please, do not consider the page limit as a target! It is in your interest to keep your text as concise as possible, since experts rarely view unnecessarily long proposals in a positive light.



The following formatting conditions apply.

The reference font for the body text of H2020 proposals is Times New Roman (Windows platforms), Times/Times New Roman (Apple platforms) or Nimbus Roman No. 9 L (Linux distributions).

The use of a different font for the body text is not advised and is subject to the cumulative conditions that the font is legible and that its use does not significantly shorten the representation of the proposal in number of pages compared to using the reference font (for example with a view to bypass the page limit).

The minimum font size allowed is 11 points. Standard character spacing and a minimum of single line spacing is to be used.

Text elements other than the body text, such as headers, foot/end notes, captions, formula's, may deviate, but must be legible.

The page size is A4, and all margins (top, bottom, left, right) should be at least 15 mm (not including any footers or headers).

TITLE OF THE PROPOSAL

⚠ The consortium members are listed in part A of the proposal (administrative forms). A summary list should also be provided in the table below.

List of participants

Participant No. *	Participant No. * Participant organisation name			
1 (Coordinator)				
2				
3				

^{*} Please use the same participant numbering as that used in the administrative proposal forms.

1. Excellence

Your proposal must address a work programme topic for this call for proposals.

⚠ This section of your proposal will be assessed only to the extent that it is relevant to that topic.

1.1 Objectives

• Describe the overall and specific objectives for the project¹, which should be clear, measurable, realistic and achievable within the duration of the project. Objectives should be consistent with the expected exploitation and impact of the project (see section 2).

1.2 Relation to the work programme

• Indicate the work programme topic to which your proposal relates, and explain how your proposal addresses the specific challenge and scope of that topic, as set out in the work programme.

1.3 Concept and methodology

(a) Concept

- Describe and explain the overall concept underpinning the project. Describe the main ideas, models or assumptions involved. Identify any inter-disciplinary considerations and, where relevant, use of stakeholder knowledge. Where relevant, include measures taken for public/societal engagement on issues related to the project. Describe the positioning of the project e.g. where it is situated in the spectrum from 'idea to application', or from 'lab to market'. Refer to Technology Readiness Levels where relevant. (See General Annex G of the work programme);
- Describe any national or international research and innovation activities which will be linked with the project, especially where the outputs from these will feed into the project;

-

The term 'project' used in this template equates to an 'action' in certain other Horizon 2020 documentation.

(b) Methodology

- Describe and explain the overall methodology, distinguishing, as appropriate, activities indicated in the relevant section of the work programme, e.g. for research, demonstration, piloting, first market replication, etc.
- Where relevant, describe how *the gender dimension*, *i.e.* sex and/or gender analysis is taken into account in the project's content.

⚠ Please note that this question does not refer to gender balance in the teams in charge of carrying out the project but to the content of the planned research and innovation activities. Sex and gender analysis refers to biological characteristics and social/cultural factors respectively. For guidance on methods of sex / gender analysis and the issues to be taken into account, please refer to http://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/gendered-innovations/index en.cfm?pg=home

1.4 Ambition

- Describe the advance your proposal would provide beyond the state-of-the-art, and the extent the proposed work is ambitious.
- Describe the innovation potential (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches, new products, services or business and organisational models) which the proposal represents. Where relevant, refer to products and services already available on the market. Please refer to the results of any patent search carried out.

2. Impact

2.1 Expected impacts

⚠ Please be specific, and provide only information that applies to the proposal and its objectives. Wherever possible, use quantified indicators and targets.

- Describe how your project will contribute to:
 - o each of the expected impacts mentioned in the work programme, under the relevant topic;
 - o any substantial impacts not mentioned in the work programme, that would enhance innovation capacity; create new market opportunities, strengthen competitiveness and growth of companies, address issues related to climate change or the environment, or bring other important benefits for society
- Describe any barriers/obstacles, and any framework conditions (such as regulation, standards, public acceptance, workforce considerations, financing of follow-up steps, cooperation of other links in the value chain), that may determine whether and to what extent the expected impacts will be achieved. (This should not include any risk factors concerning implementation, as covered in section 3.2.)

2.2 Measures to maximise impact

a) Dissemination and exploitation² of results

• Provide a draft 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of the project's results'. Please note that such a draft plan is an <u>admissibility condition</u>, unless the work programme topic explicitly states that such a plan is not required.

Show how the proposed measures will help to achieve the expected impact of the project.

The plan, should be proportionate to the scale of the project, and should contain measures to be implemented both during and after the end of the project. For innovation actions, in particular, please describe a credible path to deliver these innovations to the market.

1 Your plan for the dissemination and exploitation of the project's results is key to maximising their impact. This plan should describe, in a concrete and comprehensive manner, the area in which you expect to make an impact and who are the potential users of your results. Your plan should also describe how you intend to use the appropriate channels of dissemination and interaction with potential users.

△ Consider the full range of potential users and uses, including research, commercial, investment, social, environmental, policy-making, setting standards, skills and educational training where relevant.

▲ Your plan should give due consideration to the possible **follow-up** of your project, once it is finished. Its exploitation could require additional investments, wider testing or scaling up. Its exploitation could also require other pre-conditions like regulation to be adapted, or value chains to adopt the results, or the public at large being receptive to your results.

- Include a business plan where relevant.
- As relevant, include information on how the participants will manage the research data generated and/or collected during the project, in particular addressing the following issues:
 - What types of data will the project generate/collect?
 - o What standards will be used?
 - o How will this data be exploited and/or shared/made accessible for verification and re-use? If data cannot be made available, explain why.
 - O How will this data be curated and preserved?
 - o How will the costs for data curation and preservation be covered?

Actions under Horizon 2020 participate in the extended 'Pilot on Open Research Data in Horizon 2020 ('open research data by default'), except if they indicate otherwise ('opt-out'.)³. Once the action has started (**not** at application stage) those beneficaries which do not opt-out, will need to create a

.

² See participant portal FAQ on how to address dissemination and exploitation in Horizon 2020

Opting out of the Open Research Data Pilot is possible, both before and after the grant signature. For further guidance on open research data and data management, please refer to the <u>H2020 Online Manual</u> on the Participant Portal.

more detailed Data Management Plan for making their data findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable (FAIR).

⚠ You will need an appropriate consortium agreement to manage (amongst other things) the ownership and access to key knowledge (IPR, research data etc.). Where relevant, these will allow you, collectively and individually, to pursue market opportunities arising from the project's results.

⚠ The appropriate structure of the consortium to support exploitation is addressed in section 3.3.

• Outline the strategy **for knowledge management and protection**. Include measures to provide **open access** (free on-line access, such as the 'green' or 'gold' model) to peer-reviewed scientific publications which might result from the project⁴.

Open access publishing (also called 'gold' open access) means that an article is immediately provided in open access mode by the scientific publisher. The associated costs are usually shifted away from readers, and instead (for example) to the university or research institute to which the researcher is affiliated, or to the funding agency supporting the research. Gold open access costs are fully eligible as part of the grant. Note that if the gold route is chosen, a copy of the publication has to be deposited in a repository as well.

△ Self-archiving (also called 'green' open access) means that the published article or the final peer-reviewed manuscript is archived by the researcher - or a representative - in an online repository before, after or alongside its publication. Access to this article is often - but not necessarily - delayed ('embargo period'), as some scientific publishers may wish to recoup their investment by selling subscriptions and charging pay-per-download/view fees during an exclusivity period

b) Communication activities^{5,6}

• Describe the proposed communication measures for promoting the project and its findings during the period of the grant. Measures should be proportionate to the scale of the project, with clear objectives. They should be tailored to the needs of different target audiences, including groups beyond the project's own community.

3. Implementation

3.1 Work plan — Work packages, deliverables

Please provide the following:

- brief presentation of the overall structure of the work plan;
- timing of the different work packages and their components (Gantt chart or similar);
- detailed work description, i.e.:
 - o a list of work packages (table 3.1a);
 - a description of each work package (table 3.1b);
 - o a list of major deliverables (table 3.1c);

Open access must be granted to all scientific publications resulting from Horizon 2020 actions (in particular scientific peer reviewed articles). Further guidance on open access is available in the <u>H2020 Online Manual</u> on the Participant Portal.

⁵ See participant portal FAQ on how to address **communication activities** in Horizon 2020

 $^{^6}$ For further guidance on communicating EU research and innovation for project participants, please refer to the $\underline{\text{H2020}}$ $\underline{\text{Online Manual}}$ on the Participant Portal.

• graphical presentation of the components showing how they inter-relate (Pert chart or similar).

⚠ Give full details. Base your account on the logical structure of the project and the stages in which it is to be carried out. The number of work packages should be proportionate to the scale and complexity of the project.

△ You should give enough detail in each work package to justify the proposed resources to be allocated and also quantified information so that progress can be monitored, including by the Commission

A Resources assigned to work packages should be in line with their objectives and deliverables. You are advised to include a distinct work package on 'management' (see section 3.2) and to give due visibility in the work plan to 'dissemination and exploitation' and 'communication activities', either with distinct tasks or distinct work packages.

⚠ You will be required to include an updated (or confirmed) 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of results' in both the periodic and final reports. (This does not apply to topics where a draft plan was not required.) This should include a record of activities related to dissemination and exploitation that have been undertaken and those still planned. A report of completed and planned communication activities will also be required.

1 If your project is taking part in the Pilot on Open Research Data, you must include a 'data management plan' as a distinct deliverable within the first 6 months of the project. A template for such a plan is given in the guidelines on data management in the H2020 Online Manual. This deliverable will evolve during the lifetime of the project in order to present the status of the project's reflections on data management.

Definitions:

'Work package' means a major sub-division of the proposed project.

'<u>Deliverable</u>' means a distinct output of the project, meaningful in terms of the project's overall objectives and constituted by a report, a document, a technical diagram, a software etc.

3.2 Management structure, milestones and procedures

- Describe the organisational structure and the decision-making (including a list of milestones (table 3.2a))
- Explain why the organisational structure and decision-making mechanisms are appropriate to the complexity and scale of the project.
- Describe, where relevant, how effective innovation management will be addressed in the management structure and work plan.

⚠ Innovation management is a process which requires an understanding of both market and technical problems, with a goal of successfully implementing appropriate creative ideas. A new or improved product, service or process is its typical output. It also allows a consortium to respond to an external or internal opportunity.

• Describe any critical risks, relating to project implementation, that the stated project's objectives may not be achieved. Detail any risk mitigation measures. Please provide a table with critical risks identified and mitigating actions (table 3.2b)

Definition:

'Milestones' means control points in the project that help to chart progress. Milestones may correspond to the completion of a key deliverable, allowing the next phase of the work to begin. They may also be needed at intermediary points so that, if problems have arisen, corrective measures can be taken. A milestone may be a critical decision point in the project where, for example, the consortium must decide which of several technologies to adopt for further development.

3.3 Consortium as a whole

△ The individual members of the consortium are described in a separate section 4. There is no need to repeat that information here.

- Describe the consortium. How will it match the project's objectives, and bring together the necessary expertise? How do the members complement one another (and cover the value chain, where appropriate),?
- In what way does each of them contribute to the project? Show that each has a valid role, and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role.
- If applicable, describe the industrial/commercial involvement in the project to ensure exploitation of the results and explain why this is consistent with and will help to achieve the specific measures which are proposed for exploitation of the results of the project (see section 2.2).
- Other countries and international organisations: If one or more of the participants requesting EU funding is based in a country or is an international organisation that is not automatically eligible for such funding (entities from Member States of the EU, from Associated Countries and from one of the countries in the exhaustive list included in General Annex A of the work programme are automatically eligible for EU funding), explain why the participation of the entity in question is essential to carrying out the project

3.4 Resources to be committed

△ Please make sure the information in this section matches the costs as stated in the budget table in section 3 of the administrative proposal forms, and the number of person months, shown in the detailed work package descriptions.

Please provide the following:

- a table showing number of person months required (table 3.4a)
- a table showing 'other direct costs' (table 3.4b) for participants where those costs exceed 15% of the personnel costs (according to the budget table in section 3 of the administrative proposal forms)

Tables for section 3.1

Table 3.1a: List of work packages

Work package No	Work Package Title	Lead Participant No	Lead Participant Short Name	Person- Months	Start Month	End month
				Total person-months		

Table 3.1b: Work package description

For each work package:

Work package number	Lead	beneficia	ry		
Work package title					
Participant number					
Short name of participant					
Person months per participant:					
Start month			End month		

Person months per participant:								
Start month				End month				
Objectives								
Description of work (where apparticipants	ppropriate	, broken	down in	nto tasks),	, lead pa	ertner and	d role	of
Deliverables (brief description an	nd month o	of deliver	y)					

Table 3.1c: List of Deliverables⁷

Deliverable (number)	Deliverable name	Work package number	Short name of lead participant	Туре	Dissemination level	Delivery date (in months)

KEY

Deliverable numbers in order of delivery dates. Please use the numbering convention <WP number>.<number of deliverable within that WP>.

For example, deliverable 4.2 would be the second deliverable from work package 4.

Type:

Use one of the following codes:

R: Document, report (excluding the periodic and final reports)

DEM: Demonstrator, pilot, prototype, plan designs

DEC: Websites, patents filing, press & media actions, videos, etc.

OTHER: Software, technical diagram, etc.

Dissemination level:

Use one of the following codes:

PU = Public, fully open, e.g. web

CO = Confidential, restricted under conditions set out in Model Grant Agreement

CI = Classified, information as referred to in Commission Decision 2001/844/EC.

Delivery date

Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)

If your action is taking part in the Pilot on Open Research Data, you must include a data management plan as a distinct deliverable within the first 6 months of the project. This deliverable will evolve during the lifetime of the project in order to present the status of the project's reflections on data management. A template for such a plan is available in the H2020 Online Manual on the Participant Portal.

Tables for section 3.2

Table 3.2a: List of milestones

Milestone number	Milestone name	Related work package(s)	Due date (in month)	Means of verification

KEY

Due date

Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)

Means of verification

Show how you will confirm that the milestone has been attained. Refer to indicators if appropriate. For example: a laboratory prototype that is 'up and running'; software released and validated by a user group; field survey complete and data quality validated.

Table 3.2b: Critical risks for implementation

Description of risk (indicate level of likelihood: Low/Medium/High)	Work package(s) involved	Proposed risk-mitigation measures

Definition critical risk:

A critical risk is a plausible event or issue that could have a high adverse impact on the ability of the project to achieve its objectives.

Level of likelihood to occur: Low/medium/high

The likelihood is the estimated probability that the risk will materialise even after taking account of the mitigating measures put in place.

Tables for section 3.4

Table 3.4a: Summary of staff effort

Please indicate the number of person/months over the whole duration of the planned work, for each work package, for each participant. Identify the work-package leader for each WP by showing the relevant person-month figure in bold.

	WPn	WPn+1	WPn+2	Total Person- Months per Participant
Participant				
Number/Short Name				
ParticipantNumber/				
Short Name				
Participant Number/				
Short Name				
Total Person Months				

Table 3.4b: 'Other direct cost' items (travel, equipment, other goods and services, large research infrastructure)

Please complete the table below for each participant if the sum of the costs for' travel', 'equipment', and 'goods and services' exceeds 15% of the personnel costs for that participant (according to the budget table in section 3 of the proposal administrative forms).

Participant	Cost	Justification
Number/Short Name	(€)	
Travel		
Equipment		
Other goods and		
services		
Total		

Please complete the table below for all participants that would like to declare costs of large research infrastructure under Article 6.2 of the General Model Agreement⁸, irrespective of the percentage of personnel costs. Please indicate (in the justification) if the beneficiary's methodology for declaring the costs for large research infrastructure has already been positively assessed by the Commission.

Participant	Cost	Justification
Number/Short Name	(€)	
Large research		
infrastructure		

11

⁸ Large research infrastructure means research infrastructure of a total value of at least EUR 20 million, for a beneficiary. More information and further guidance on the direct costing for the large research infrastructure is available in the H2020 Online Manual on the Participant Portal.